COUNCIL MINUTES
JUNE 22, 2022

The City Council held a meeting on Wednesday, June 22, 2022, at 5:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, 10 North Main Street, Cedar City, Utah.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Mayor Garth O. Green; Councilmembers: Terri Hartley; Craig Isom; W. Tyler Melling; Scott Phillips; Ronald Riddle.

STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Paul Bittmenn; City Attorney Tyler Romeriil; City Recorder Renon Savage; Finance Director Jason Norris; City Engineer Jonathan Stathis; Police Chief Darin Adams; Fire Chief Mike Phillips; Leisure Services Director Ken Nielson; Aquatics Manager Marcie Burrell; Public Works Director Ryan Marshall; Jennifer Weaver Recreation Manager; Anthony Pearson Parks Superintendent; Jason Clark Heritage Manger.


CALL TO ORDER: Councilmember Phillips gave the invocation; the pledge was led by Teri Kenney.

AGENDA ORDER APPROVAL: Councilmember Isom moved to approve the agenda order; second by Councilmember Hartley; vote unanimous.

ADMINISTRATION AGENDA – MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS: STAFF COMMENTS: ■ Mayor – I would like to propose that we have a review of the Willow Sticks study on July 6th at 3:00 p.m. They asked for two hours, it is council night. Pizza could be provided at 5:00 before the 5:30 meeting. It will be a public meeting. Phillips – is the intent that something will come from that to a work meeting? Mayor – the next step is in the budget. They will show where they found it. It is completely different than what we have done in the past. We will then drill test wells, determine the number, they have 9 sites they have identified, we will drill as many as possible. We budgeted $1 million. Riddle – will the meeting be broadcast or not? Tyler – we can broadcast it, we have that capability. Mayor – I wish the camera showed the screen, to see the results of the gama and other testing, it is fascinating to me. Mayor – we have talked about RFP on WWTP, we will open that July 1st – August 15th and I would like to form a committee to bring back recommendations on September 7th. ■ Phillips – I want to remind everyone that the Cedar City Active Transportation Committee is holding a Slow Roll tomorrow, the 3rd for the season, one on May 6, the last Thursday in May at Three Peaks, tomorrow will be at the Lake at the Hills. Because of the location and weather, we anticipate families, there will be food vendors, it will be from 6:00 – 8:00 p.m. to get out and have a family activity.

PUBLIC COMMENTS: There were no comments.

CONSENT AGENDA: (1) APPROVAL OF MINUTES DATED JUNE 1 & 8, 2022; (2) RATIFY BILLS DATED JUNE 10, 2022; (3) APPROVE THE FOLLOWING BOARD APPOINTMENTS: CARTER WILKEY TO PLANNING COMMISSION; ROBERT
REID TO THE LIBRARY BOARD; AND CHRIS BEARNSON TO BOARD OF
ADJUSTMENTS. MAYOR GREEN; (4) APPROVE VICINITY PLAN FOR
MARIGOLD PUD LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 2300 W. 100 S. (RUDD
ROAD), LEAVITT LAND/DON BOUDREAU; (5) APPROVE VICINITY PLAN FOR
IRON WEST TOWNHOMES PUD PHASES 1 & 2 LOCATED AT
APPROXIMATELY 300 NORTH 4500 WEST. GO CIVIL/DON BOUDREAU; (6)
APPROVE VICINITY PLAN FOR IRON WEST TWIN HOME SUBDIVISION
LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 300 NORTH 4500 WEST. GO CIVIL/DON
BOUDREAU; (7) APPROVE VICINITY PLAN FOR IRON WILLOWS
SUBDIVISION PHASE 3 LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 1600 NORTH 3100
WEST, PLATT & PLATT/DON BOUDREAU; (8) APPROVE THE FINAL PLAT OF
IRON CREST SUBDIVISION PHASE 2 LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF 925 N
3725 W. PLATT & PLATT/TYLER ROMERIL; (9) APPROVE THE FINAL PLAT
OF IRON CREST SUBDIVISION PHASE 3 LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF 975 N
3900 W. PLATT & PLATT/TYLER ROMERIL; (10) APPROVE ACCEPTING THE
ROAD DEDICATION FOR 3000 NORTH AND MINERSVILLE HIGHWAY.
PREMIER DESIGN/TYLER ROMERIL: Mayor - thanks again to Mary Pearson for 9
years on Planning Commission, she has been an amazing Chair. Natalie Beacham 6 years on
Library Board, Joe Sanders on Board of Adjustments 9 years, and Kara Taylor on Active
Transportation, they will be replaced by item #3. I wanted to express my appreciation to
each of them.

Councilmember Phillips moved to approve the consent agenda items 1 through 10 as written
above; second by Councilmember Hartley; vote unanimous.

CONSIDER FINAL PLAT FOR SHURTZ CANYON PUD PHASE 1 (TIPPLE
ROAD), TIM WATSON/TYLER ROMERIL: Daryl Brown, Watson Engineering – any
questions regarding the subdivision plat? Phillips – nothing different from last week other
than the motion on the grading permit. Tyler – if you are inclined, motion on the final plat
and forgive the grading fine because the grading didn’t go beyond the rough grading.

Riddle – I go south a lot and I have paid attention that the construction equipment is not
moving. Today they were there and the only thing moving was the water truck. I pulled off
the freeway and watched and the only thing I saw was the water truck. It looked to me like
they were doing what they said. It was 6:45 a.m.

Councilmember Phillips moved to approve the final plat for Shurtz Canyon PUD Phase 1,
and moved to forgive the pre plat penalty for the grading permit because they didn’t do
anything beyond the rough grading. Melling can we add that we are in the process of
revisiting the ordinance that if a fee occurred it would be a nominal fee. Phillips – but we
don’t know that. Phillips – I am ok with that amendment; second by Councilmember
Melling; vote unanimous.

CONSIDER A RESOLUTION AMENDING CHAPTER 8 OF THE PERSONNEL
POLICY, NATASHA HIRSCHI: Paul – this was the Juneteenth holiday that was discussed
last week, and staff appreciated it.
Councilmember Isom moved to ratify the resolution amending Chapter 8 of the Personnel Policy and ratify the day off for Juneteenth that happened on June 20, 2022; second by Councilmember Hartley; vote as follows:

AYE: 5  
NAY: 0  
ABSTAINED: 0

CONSIDER FY 2023 BLANKET CONTRACTS. JEFF HUNTER: Riddle – so there is not any conflict or question, there are some things that will be awarded to Ashdown Construction, my son in law is a large part of that. I have no monetary ties; they didn’t contribute to my campaign beyond putting up and removing signs. No financial gain, but my son-in-law is a large part of Ashdown Construction. I want that disclosed. Isom – does he need to recuse himself? Tyler – we have an encouragement resolution, but legally no conflict.

Melling – I have no ownership, but I do have a client I am actively working on issues with and is one of the contracting entities. The issues have nothing to do with the city, I will recuse myself on this one.

Phillips – I appreciated the explanation Mr. Hunter gave last week.

Councilmember Phillips moved to approve the FY-23 blanket contracts as presented; second by Councilmember Isom; vote as follows: Aye – 4, Abstained – 1 Tyler Melling.

CONSIDER AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY’S SHADE TREE ORDINANCE AND INCLUDING IT IN CHAPTER 27A. TYLER ROMERIL: Mayor – I am placing a call to Lorah Bodie. They all have your letter and have read it.

Tyler – I will address her concerns. A few misunderstandings from the previous ordinance to the changes. First the difference of what is considered a public responsibility versus private. Private tree care is any tree on private property. If in your yard you are responsible to maintain, and we encourage it to enhance the beauty of your neighborhood. City/public responsibility. Street Trees – trees adjacent to Main Street and Center Street, the city will plant, maintain, water and prune. Park Trees are trees in public parks and grounds. The maintenance we talk about the city is responsible to maintain those on public grounds, anything owned by the city. There are some in city parkways, not all are on city property, we need to research if some are on city property, if they are city property then the city will be responsible to maintain them. They could be other places than the parks. Melling – part of the concern is a number of the trees were planted in the 1930’s by the city or at the city’s encouragement. The way the ordinance was drafted gave rules. The current changes in State law and liability that can become very problematic. I love the trees downtown, I wish the city would trim my trees, but there are costs associated with that. I think it would be great if a neighborhood put together a community co-op for tree maintenance, people would have to opt in. On the private property to legislate how people maintain and what condition that gets dicey unless it is city property. Riddle – if a tree on a park strip is city property, then isn’t the lawn also. If you go to someone paying their taxes 46 years and have been mowing and
watering for that time, that is their property, and they should maintain it. Paul – I would encourage the city to discourage anything in the landscape/park strip in a residential neighborhood. People think they are their trees, and if we displeased them, it causes problems. We trimmed trees a few years ago to chip seal and the arborist we chose selected a way to trim that was displeasing. We had to hire someone to soften the haircut. It puts the city in a bad position to trim the trees when we think they need to be trimmed.

Phillips – it is a very delicate subject. They provide value, they cool the earth. I have always been told that my property begins on the back of the sidewalk, but it is my responsibility to take care of it. Tyler – that is policy, we have asked citizens to maintain the park strip, but if we got into the legal records the city may be responsible. Phillips – when Ms. Bodie was here before, prior to 1992 there was something back in the 30’s that indicated the desire and intent of the shade trees. There was documentation that was changed in 1992 when they established the Shade Tree Commission. I expressed a few weeks ago, I think it is good we are putting it where it won’t get lost. We need to make sure we can find it on the website, but I also worry that we can’t give away everything. The city needs some clout on how we dictate the role in how things look, we do with speed limits, etc., but we need to be able to enforce things. Our PD is overrun with nuisance enforcements and code violations. I also worry when there is a safety issue with limbs hitting people in the head, don’t we have some responsibility to mitigate that problem. Tyler – it would fall under code enforcement, if they can’t take it down, if they give consent then we can take it down. Riddle – then they become responsible. Tyler – if a criminal nuisance we take it through the court. Phillips – we illuminated the stump removal, I know cutting down a tree is not my perogative, but I don’t want a bunch of stumps all over the place around town. I worry that we are taking that out of the ordinance.

Melling – I am less worried about the stump issue, but there a number of things that I didn’t have time to go through it this week. I would be ok to continue this item. I am also ok to pass it as written. I would feel better having more time to look at it. I agree with Mr. Bittmenn, we may want language, so the city is not in jeopardy if property is city owned as platted but has been maintained by someone else for a number of years. We may want to dispose of property.

Isom – if nothing else the new language makes it very clear what is city and private as now defined. We may find we have ownerships of park strips, but for now it is very clarifying. Hartley – I feel good about that also, but I want to know the reasoning of taking the stump removal out. Tyler – it is my view on things, but if I want a stump on my property, is the government going to tell me I can’t have a stump. I thought it may be something the council would want. Melling – stump removal is expensive. Phillips – so is pruning and removal. These were two large trees with large trunks invading the sidewalks. I need further clarity, if we went to aviation way with the planted median and were looking to make improvements to the Golf Course, are they considered street trees or are they on public grounds? Tyler – they are on public grounds. A few changes from last week, we changed the appeal to 10 days and cleared up the title. I also double checked the changes that were tracked, all the changes were tracked. Phillips – there was a hyperlink. Tyler – she wanted a hyperlink in another part of the ordinance. I think we can deal with that when we have our ordinances done by a codifier. Riddle – if you want a stump in your yard, have it. Melling – the stump removal
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would only apply to city property, would it apply to private property? Tyler – the way written it was for both public and private. Hartley – I was thinking on planting strips. Melling – it is thousands of dollars to remove the trees. I was talking with a neighbor that has to take a home equity line to replace their roof, I don’t want people to be required to do that for a stump removal. Tyler – the old ordinance it was only private property if it was in a park strip. Melling – unless we are willing to do it at taxpayer expense, then why require it and I am not ok to have taxpayers do that. Phillips – it is better than what we had. The commission was interested citizens.

Lorah Bodie – I have a few things that have come to mind. Through the discussion it stands out a question that needs to be answered, there is a lot in here and it should be tabled. We want to take a thorough look at it. A question is whether the park strip is on city property, if so, there is liability with limbs or lifting sidewalks. Maintaining the grass, not everyone has grass, they put in rocks and bricks. I don’t think people should be able to cut down healthy trees. On 100 West he cut it because he doesn’t like to rake leafs. Also is it the right thing to tax property owners adjacent to that. There is public and private property, but adjacent confuses the entire thing. Adjacent property owned by the city such as parkways then it makes sense to have a separate section, which part of the private property. I am hoping we could process and take the time we need to answer the questions and I am sure there are others. Have some examples such as in front of where the Garden House was, is that diseased tree his responsibility. A requirement to remove trees if diseased, if so, they need to be replanted. Some parts of the ordinance are good, but don’t take out all protections and let people do what they want. So, we need to answer whose property it is. Maybe the city doesn’t have a perfect canopy of trees. There could be opportunity for input such as in front of Garden House to see if someone has looked at it, so the priority doesn’t go anywhere unless the homeowner wants to do that. I appreciate the work on the ordinance, it is more clear. Thank you for your time. I appreciate we are moving forward.

Melling – there are a few issues with liability I would like to take a closer look at. We have defined public and private, but I worry if something treated as private is actually public.

Councilmember Melling moved to table to the next meeting when it is ironed out. Motion failed for lack of second.

Riddle – I don’t want to take responsibility for private property, and I don’t want to tell people what to do with their property. We are opening ourselves up, my mother’s place I have to mow twice a week, and I have removed two old trees. We are taking on a lot. Melling – I don’t want to say we maintain the park strip. Paul – most homeowners with park strips probably planted the trees themselves. Ms. Bodie did bring documentation from the 1930’s. The park strips the city has not changed the landscape in them, it is the neighboring homeowner, put pavers in, watered the grass, they love it, take care of it and every metric other than owning it has been done by the neighboring homeowner. Riddle – if you want to put pavers in it is ok. Melling – I just want more time, even if city owned property. Paul – our guys get yelled at a lot and I don’t want them to get yelled at by homeowners. Tyler – I don’t know of a mechanism where we can pass an ordinance to make someone take care of our property. Melling – the city would have no implied or expressed responsibility to take
care of it. Tyler – I don’t know how that would hold up. Phillips – the city did it as a gesture, it doesn’t mean we own it. It is not implied that the city has ownership of the trees.

Councilmember Melling moved approve the ordinance amending the City’s Shade Tree Ordinance and include in in Chapter 27A; second by Councilmember Isom; roll call vote as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terri Hartley</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Isom</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyler Melling</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Phillips</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Riddle</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONSIDER A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE CITY’S CONSOLIDATED FEE SCHEDULE: Mayor – I am probably too slow, I have a lot of experience in, I want three things, what is the competition, what is the cost in operating in providing the product and what is the customer willing to pay and sensitivity on how much people care what we charge. We see the proposals, I would be interested in hearing any comment on not the prices, but why and what was done to arrive at the conclusion. I will be more diligent next year to ask questions. It is a process I rarely delegated in my business on pricing my products.

Phillips – the questions that arose last week are because of that. We want the data and rationale.

Paul – any particular fee? Melling – I am comfortable with how it was outlined. The water fees when it comes to the cost of installation, many issues have arisen lately. With the Water District, whatever the cost-plus installation cost is what it was updated to. I don’t know if that is a path we want to go down. Phillips - my question on the water, how come on all of the compound Neptune fee have not had a fee before? Ryan Marshall – we have had the larger meters before, but not the smaller. They are now wanting to save costs and only put the smaller units and not have the larger capacity, the developers have asked if we can put in the smaller meters. Jonathan – that is for fire flow. Ryan – we have never had a price for it. Mayor – are we bypassing the meter for the fire sprinkler system, or if we have a 6” pipe how does that work. That’s a 6” meter. Melling – the compound meter is hooked to a large intake, like fill the toilets and only 2 flush, the smaller portion. Mayor – hopefully the sprinkler never comes on, but if you need it, you want it large enough. It is not metered. Jonathan – they can do a meter for culinary and leak detector. Most meter all, apartments don’t always need a 6” meter, sometimes they can get away with a smaller meter, if they don’t need as much fire flow they can go with a smaller meter. Mayor – if you have a large project you have to buy the $8,000 meter even though you only need a 2” meter for the culinary. Mayor – you have annual draw downs and tests with a fire system, do I pay for that or not? Jonathan – if it is metered. Mayor – if there is a “t” in the building then you only buy a $1,000 meter. Ryan – this is setting a price for the smaller meters. Mayor – do we allow them to run to a fire system and then run to the building without metering the water flow? Jonathan – we give them both options. Mayor – we give big lines to run a fire system that they never use. It has to be annually inspected, there are costs, it is an insult to charge me for an 8” meter when we only need 2” meter.
Phillips — on storm drain, why the commercial and residential monthly fees the same? Ryan — the commercial gets a flat fee and also an ERU monthly fee based on the square footage of the asphalt. Phillips — I pay $7 and if I have 7,000 square feet of asphalt we pay more. Jonathan — an ERU is 3,600 square feet. Melling — no mechanism as it stands if they keep their storm water. Mayor — I have 86,000 square feet of asphalt, for 23 ERU’s so I pay $130 more a month for storm drain? Yes. Ryan — we called others, $10,75 in Provo, the lowest is Bountiful $1,75. The residential fee, St. George is $4.50, Washington is $8.40, we tried to keep the charges in a reasonable rate as we see and also help with the costs of the storm drain. Mayor - #4, nobody probably cares, no one knows the calculation, people just pay it. Phillips — it helps us understand the $6 is based on the asphalt. Ryan — this is the hardest one to come up with, it is a sensitive topic. We looked at operational costs for next year, all the capital that the city has identified over 5 years which is $44.5 million and $47 to $18 million in projects from Sunrise. Over 5 years the user fee would be $23.40 and $19.40 for ERU, this is not something we could absorb, so we did it over 10 years $13.72 for residential and $11.50 for ERU, and that is still high, and we don’t know what Sunrise will do. With the $4.5 million and operation the city has in projects and look at another avenue when we get from Sunrise $8.33 for residents and $7 for ERU and then we looked at other cities. It was still high so we looked at what citizens could absorb. If we stayed with the current fees, next year and the $20,000 for one project and operations alone, we will be short $200,000 to fund the division next. If we implement the $7 and $6 ERU we would have an excess of about $600,000. That would allow us to do some of the projects that didn’t get funded in this budget. If we look at the $4.5 million and we are getting $600,000 with the operational it would take 6-7 years to finish the projects instead of 5 years. This will help the citizens absorb but allow us to do some projects we have identified internally. Mayor — when doing the budget, I tried to go for $10 and they were concerned about the costs. We need to start now; the mood knows the sensitivity. If we could get started with something, accumulate $600,000 and we are talking $15 million we will have to bond. I want to be aggressive as much as we dare do. Everyone recognizes the problem, thank goodness for the $4.2 million we got. I don’t know anyone that got hit with flooding the way we did, and our citizens are in the mood. Ryan — you can go with other options.

Phillips — when I get my bill it is $2 for garbage, water going up, I don’t know about the landfill, but our standard bill is up by $10.00 a month. Ryan — I know it is important but don’t know how the citizens will take it.

Tom Jett — this section gives me some understanding. Is the equipment without operator? Ryan — below it is the operator rate, so there are two fees. Tom — talking 5-10 years, what is the life expectancy? Phillips — I hope 40-50 years. Tom — the numbers are not out of line; I have to pay it also. If we are being conservative, it we pay off in 5, 6, or 7 years it is not a bad investment.

Paul — we have Jason here for Heritage, Danny is not here for banners, Ken has his folks here for questions on Leisure fees. Ken — if you have questions for Jen let her go next, she has programming. Council — we are ok. Melling — in the future look at a local team fee. Riddle — a wrestling fee in the Wasatch Front it is about $200 and we charge $40. The play for a young 7-year-old boy on machine pitch is cheap.
Phillips - I still have questions on the field fees. Anthony - we went through and did a comparison, Hurricane is $150 per field, Washington City is $350, I misspoke last week, Mesquite $225, Parowan $100, Beaver is $150, they also comp the field for some entities. Beaver would comp the tournament we have this week. Spanish Fork $150, Payson $90, Orem $225, Provo is $600 for the whole complex, if someone was to rent out the entire Hill’s complex that would be $600 as well. It will cover the hourly, I broke it down for tournament, 2 people for each field with a $12 per hour fee. Wages for a tournament is $240 per field material and prep about $50 with chalk, gas and groomers, toiletries and trash bags about $50, for $350 in cost, we gain $250 that doesn’t include if they pay for temporary nets. There is lighting fee of $20. Riddle - the scoreboard fee? Anthony - they all do apps for scoring, Little League they own their scoreboards. Paul -most tournament rents 4-7 fields. Anthony - this weekend there are 14 fields. Paul - our labor will go up, hopefully mom and dad are spending money in the community. Phillips - did we fix the water problem? Anthony - 3:00 p.m. today the parts were in Salt Lake. Phillips - PR is an issue. Mayor - I volunteered my service; I know a lot about pumps and parts. Remember to add in head office and supervision. We always hope we don’t get all the supervision we pay for. Take the costs of those things and double to pay the other expenses. Anthony - cemetery we did a study of 23 cities to make sure we were still in approachable area and still provide a community service. Melling - a conversation for another day, but I would like to define resident and non-resident. Phillips - non-resident anyone that does not live in Cedar City. Anthony - not in Cedar City boundaries.

Phillips - I think Mr. Clark is very generous in his pricing. Jason Clark, Heritage/Festival Hall - I didn’t receive all qualifications, our fee increases are based on pandemic experience, we are the most cost-effective theater in the state, we operate below operating costs. We are in a new world. We would clean, prep a room the whole concept of sanitizing everything we did not calculate. My team felt we should put that in. They don’t go directly into my budget, I thought it should be in the fees. Festival Hall up $5, and $7, I felt they were reasonable. Phillips - the theater itself, some may only need the lobby? Jason - for luncheon or wedding reception. Phillips - with the theatre and the lobby should it be two fees? Jason - it is included in the theatre; it is a necessity to a performance. We are not meeting our operating expenses, if we increased to meet that the local organizations could not afford to be there. Phillips - it came up today, is there a non-profit rate? Jason - there is a non-profit rate Sunday through Thursday it’s a 35% discount; originally it was a 50% discount, the reason for that was to encourage local people to use the facility, I reduced that about 8 years ago from 50% to a 35% discount. I took heat when I did that, but I felt it was appropriate action to bring it a little more inline. Phillips - RAP hearings today, they receive funding and then give it back to the city in rent. I was asked if there was a non-profit rate. Jason - some organizations are very meticulous in their bookings, so they always have a non-profit rate. Weekends cost 10% more and we don’t offer a reduction on the weekend. Master Singers and Orchestra of Southern Utah make sure to always book Sunday through Thursday, other organizations it doesn’t seem to affect them, they want the weekends. The weekends cost 10% more and we have never offered a discount simply because we don’t want to open that window and have everyone scramble for the weekends and the building would remain empty the rest of the week. Mayor - Children’s Theatre book a lot in August, do they pay a rehearsals fee, the $375 fee? Jason - they get the 35% discount during the week. Mayor -
they pay $250 for 20 nights of rehearsal? Jason – yes. Phillips – that is why most theaters rehearse off site. They can use the basement. Jason – the basement is cheaper and can rehearse there. The Orchestra of Southern Utah uses the basement throughout their concert year for rehearsals. The challenge with The Children’s Musical Theatre is they have 200 children. Groups tend to book what they need and can afford. I have had The Children’s Theatre rehearsing in the basement and some in the explorer room. The Explorer room is the largest room. Phillips – they are the outlier in this, most rehearse off site. Jason – it depends on the show, if complicated musical they need more nights in the theatre. Phillips – in a year, come back with data to see what it would take to make it more self-sustaining. Mayor – it is a dancing act, we have a $20 million facility that we want to use, do we want the value out of the asset or the money out of the people. Jason – this is the first fee increase in 8 years. Next year we really need to revisit, this was to address labor costs. Mayor – use is more interesting than getting another $100 per night. I would error to use the theatre and worry more about getting $2,000 to $3,000 more out of the theatre. Jason – we are shooting for 1-2% increase, we want to adapt a new fee structure with an increased rate for outside entities. Mayor – I would like a marketing plan for next year. Hartley – prior to you being there the building was locked up a lot, I love to see people coming and going.

Councilmember Isom moved to approve the resolution amending the City’s Consolidated Fee Schedule; second by Councilmember Melling; vote as follows:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AYE:</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NAY:</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ABSTAINED:</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLOSSED SESSION – PROPERTY NEGOTIATIONS:** Councilmember Isom moved to go into closed session at 7:10 p.m.; second by Councilmember Hartley; roll call vote as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terri Hartley</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Isom</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyler Melling</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Phillips</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Riddle</td>
<td>AYE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADJOURN:** Councilmember Isom moved to adjourn at 7:58 p.m.; second by Councilmember Melling; vote unanimous.